UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                      | FILING DATE          | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/034,151   | 12/27/2001           | Lorenzo Mendizabal   | Hartford-9          | 1595             |
| 45722 7590 01/11/2007<br>PLEVY & HOWARD & DARCY P.C. |                      |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
| P.O. BOX 226   |                      |                      | LANEAU, RONALD      |                  |
| Fort Washington                                      | on, PA 19034         |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|  |                      |                      | 3714                |                  |
|  | ·····                |                      |                     |                  |
| SHORTENED STATUTOR                                   | Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE | MAIL DATE            | DELIVERY MODE       |                  |
| 3 MONTHS   |                      | 01/11/2007           | DADED               |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

|  |  | M   |  |
|--|--|---|--|
|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |  |
|  | 10/034,151   | MENDIZABAL ET AL.   |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner   | Art Unit  |  |
|  | Ronald Laneau  | 3714  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap<br>Period for Reply  | opears on the cover sheet w  | vith the correspondence address   |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUN.  136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tte, cause the application to become A | ICATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |
| Status   |  |   |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 200   | October 2006.  |   |  |
| 2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This action is non-final.  |  |   |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed  | ance except for formal mat   | tters, prosecution as to the merits is  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under   | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I  | D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |  | •   |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,14 and 22-38</u> is/are pending in the   | e application.   | •   |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra   |  |   |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |  |   |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,14,22-38</u> is/are rejected.  | •  |   |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |  | •   |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/  | or election requirement.   |   |  |
| Application Papers   |  | •   |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin  | ner.   |   |  |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ac  |  | by the Examiner.  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the  |  |   |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre   | ction is required if the drawing   | g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |  |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E   | Examiner. Note the attache   | ed Office Action or form PTO-152.   |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |  |   |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  | n priority under 35 U.S.C.   | § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documer  | nts have been received.  |   |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documer  |  | Application No  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri   | ority documents have beer  | n received in this National Stage   |  |
| application from the International Burea   | au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   |   |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis  | st of the certified copies no  | t received.   |  |
|  | •  |   |  |
|  |  |   |  |
| Attachment(s)  |  |   |  |
| <ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>   |  | Summary (PTO-413)<br>(s)/Mail Date  |  |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date   |  | Informal Patent Application   |  |

## Response to Amendment

1. The amendment filed on 10/20/06 has been entered. Claims 22-38 are added and claims 1, 14 and 22-38 are now pending.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 14 and 22-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goodwin et al (US 2003/0220867 A1) in view of McAfee et al (US 6,718,312 B1) and further in view of Li (US 6,453,303 B1).

As per claims 1, 14, 24-26, 28, 29 and 33-38, Goodwin discloses a computer method of auctioning at least one claim or asset in bankruptcy over a communication network, said method comprising the steps of: identifying potential buyers for said at least one claim or asset using at least one of a plurality of factors, the factors comprising previous purchasing behavior, industry links, and market research (page 7, [0101]); notifying selected ones of the potential buyers of the availability of said at least one claim or asset (page 10, box [0118]); registering ones of said buyers who have expressed an interest in bidding on said at least one claim or asset (page 11, box [0131]); obtaining bids from said registered buyers over the network (page 1, boxes [0003], [0004]); and accepting a highest one of said bids if said highest one of said bids satisfies a predetermined criteria and notifying said registered buyer from which said highest one of said

Application/Control Number: 10/034,151

Art Unit: 3714

bids was obtained of the acceptance thereof; or rejecting said bids if said bids do not satisfy said predetermined criteria (page 9, box [0110]). Goodwin does not explicitly disclose determining a market value but McAfee discloses determining a market value of said at least one claim or asset using historical data of same or similar claims or assets; dynamically adjusting said market value based on known factors (col. 5, lines 19-45). Neither Goodwin nor MacAfee discloses an historical data of sales of claims or assets but Li is used to disclose said determining comprising: accessing historical data of sales of claims or assets previously recorded, determining a claim or asset unit value based on said historical data, and formulating said market value based on said claim or asset unit value and number of said claims or assets available (col. 6, line 66 to col. 7, line 12).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the fair market value as taught by McAfee into the system of Goodwin because it would provide sophisticated, underlying analytical valuation system that can generate the consistent, dependable values needed for reliable financial markets. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the historical data of sales as taught by Li into the combined systems of Goodwin and McAfee because it would automatically provide market commentary for all desirable financial assets and also provide timely updates to the market commentary on a real time basis.

As per claims 22, 23, and 27, Goodwin discloses a method wherein the step of determining a market value comprises: accessing historical data of sales of claims or assets previously recorded (page 5, box [0083]); determining an asset unit value based on said historical data; and formulating said market value based on said asset unit value and number of

Application/Control Number: 10/034,151

Art Unit: 3714

assets available (page 4, [0107]). Neither Goodwin nor McAfee discloses an asset unit value that is determined as a weighted average and wherein said weights are determined in accordance with factors selected from the group comprising: number of assets, duration of sale, or date of sale but it is rather quite obvious that that Goodwin's system is capable of determining the asset value base on factors such as number of assets, duration of sale, or date of sale as claimed.

As per claims 31 and 32, Goodwin discloses a system comprising at least one input/output device interposed between said communication network and said processor to provide information items from said network to said processor and from processor to said network (see fig. 1), a system that comprises an Internet (see abstract).

## Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed on 5/19/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's arguments about the newly added limitations are moot in view of the newly found reference which discloses such features. Claims 1, 14 and 22-38 are rejected.

## Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Art Unit: 3714

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ronald Laneau whose telephone number is (571) 272-6784. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 - 3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Olszewski can be reached on (571) 272-6788. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/034,151

Art Unit: 3714

Page 6

Ronald Laneau Primary Examiner Art Unit 3714

rl